

**SUPREME COURT MINUTES  
WEDNESDAY, APRIL 8, 1998  
LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA**

The Supreme Court of California reconvened in its courtroom in the Ronald Reagan State Office Building, Third Floor, South Tower, 300 South Spring Street, Los Angeles, California, on April 8, 1998, at 9:00 a.m.

Present: Chief Justice Ronald M. George, presiding, and Associate Justices Kennard, Baxter, Werdegarr, Chin, and Brown.

Officers present: Robert F. Wandruff, Clerk; George Rodgers, Harry Kinney, and Walter Grabowski, Bailiffs.

Justice Mosk being absent due to a sudden illness, all counsel in the following cases stipulate that he may participate in deciding these cases after listening to the taped recordings of oral argument which was then given as follows:

S004784      People, Respondent

v.

Martin James Kipp, Appellant

Cause called. Ross Thomas argued for Appellant.

Gary W. Brozio, Deputy Attorney General, argued for Respondent.

Mr. Thomas replied.

Cause submitted.

S019786      People, Respondent

v.

Paul Clarence Bolin, Appellant

Cause called. Richard C. Gilman argued for Appellant.

Rachelle Newcomb, Deputy Attorney General, argued for Respondent.

Mr. Gilman replied.

Cause submitted.

Court adjourned.

S025880 People, Respondent

v.

Richard Louis Phillips, Appellant

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file respondent's brief is extended to and including May 14, 1998.

S058819 In re Mark Anthony Reilly

on

Habeas Corpus

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file respondent's informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus is extended to and including May 8, 1998.

S066487 In re Denny Mickle

on

Habeas Corpus

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file respondent's informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus is extended to and including June 5, 1998.

S067554 In re **Roger Valdón Chastain** on Discipline

It is ordered that **Roger Valdón Chastain** be suspended from the practice of law for two years, that execution of suspension be stayed, and that he be placed on probation for two years subject to the conditions of probation, including 90 days actual suspension, recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its decision filed October 27, 1997, as modified by its order filed November 20, 1997. It is also ordered that he take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order. (See *Segretti v. State Bar* (1976) 15 Cal.3d 878, 891, fn 8.) It is further ordered that he comply with rule 955, California Rules of Court, and that he perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 days, respectively, after the date this order is effective.\*  
Costs are

awarded to the State Bar pursuant to Bus. & Prof. Code, § 6140.7. (as amended effective January 1, 1997).

\*(See Business & Professions Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)

S067555 In re **Gregory J. Dorst** on Discipline

It is ordered that **Gregory J. Dorst** be suspended from the practice of law for two years and until he has shown proof satisfactory to the State Bar Court of his rehabilitation, fitness to practice and learning and ability in the general law pursuant to standard 1.4(c)(ii), Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct, that execution of suspension be stayed, and that he be placed on probation for three years subject to the conditions of probation, including one year actual suspension, recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its decision filed November 6, 1997. It is further ordered that he comply with rule 955, California Rules of Court, and that he perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 days, respectively, after the date this order is effective.\* Costs are awarded to the State Bar pursuant to Business and Professions Code § 6086.10 and those costs are payable in accordance with § 6140.7 (as amended effective January 1, 1997).

\*(See Business & Professions Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)

S067556 In re **Reynaldo G. Gomez** on Discipline

The resignation of **Reynaldo G. Gomez** having been accepted in S068819 this proceeding is dismissed without prejudice to further proceedings should he hereafter seek reinstatement.

S067559 In re **John Robert Perry** on Discipline

It is ordered that **John Robert Perry** be suspended from the practice of law for five years and until he furnishes satisfactory proof of restitution as set forth below to the State Bar Probation Unit, and until he has shown proof satisfactory to the State Bar Court of his rehabilitation, fitness to practice and learning and ability in the general law pursuant to standard 1. 4 (c) (ii) , Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct, that execution of suspension be stayed, and that he be placed on probation for six years on condition that he be actually suspended for 42 months and until he furnishes satisfactory proof to the State Bar Probation Unit of

restitution to the following persons (or the Client Security Fund, if appropriate) in the following amounts, plus 10% interest per annum from the following dates:

Margie Hillyer	\$534.65	January 1, 1992
Vaulasa Phaousong	\$243.10	July 1, 1992
Dounbounsom Chanthakhoun	\$2,500.00	October 1, 1992
Bounyeun Sybounheuang	\$182.00	June 1, 1993
Valerie Nash	\$900.00	February 1, 1994
Luis, Catalina & Marisela Bejar	\$3,500.00	August 1, 1994
Julio Honore	\$1,078.00	September 8, 1994
Navi and Haim Ram	\$700.00	December 1, 1994
Susan Reed	\$230.00	January 1, 1995
Susanna Henso	\$5,426.55	March 1, 1995
Dr. David Albracht on behalf of Keo Khambounheuang	\$1,227.50	March 1, 1992
Dr. David Albracht on behalf of Dana Dang	\$1,210.00	March 1, 1992
Dr. George Weiss on behalf of Geneva Miller	\$400.00	July 1, 1992
Dr. George Weiss on behalf of Sharone Rotkopf	\$2,172.00	December 1, 1992
Dr. David Albracht on behalf of Phouthang Phongsavong	\$845.00	December 1, 1992
Dr. David Albracht on behalf of Phet Phongsavong	\$1,020.00	January 1, 1993
Dr. David Albracht on behalf of Sommay Keolaphanh	\$742.50	March 1, 1993
Dr. David Albracht on behalf of Hatsakorn Phetluangsy	\$948.00	March 1, 1993
Dr. L.R. Boggs on behalf of Bounyeun Sybounheuang	\$2,741.00	April 1, 1993
Scott Wetzel Services on behalf of Jackie Evans	\$1,000.00	October 1, 1993
St. Joseph's Hospital of Orange on behalf of Susanna Henson	\$1,420.45	March 1, 1995

according to the payment schedule recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its order filed November 4, 1997, and until he has shown proof satisfactory to the State Bar Court of his rehabilitation, fitness to practice and learning and ability

in the general law pursuant to standard 1. 4 (c) (ii), Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct. He is further ordered to comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its order filed November 4, 1997. It is also ordered that he take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination during the period of his actual suspension. (See *Segretti v. State Bar* (1976) 15 Cal.3d 878, 891, fn. 8.) He is further ordered to comply with rule 955, California Rules of Court, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 days, respectively, after the date this order is effective.\* Costs are awarded to the State Bar pursuant to Business & Professions Code §6086.10 and payable in accordance with Business & Professions Code §6140.7, as amended effective January 1, 1997.

\*(See Business & Professions Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)

S068819

In the Matter of the Resignation of **Reynaldo Garcia Gomez**  
A Member of the State Bar of California

The voluntary resignation of **Reynaldo Garcia Gomez** as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted without prejudice to further proceedings in any disciplinary proceeding pending against him should he hereafter seek reinstatement. It is ordered that he comply with rule 955, California Rules of Court, and that he perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 60 and 70 days, respectively, after the date this order is filed.\* Costs are awarded to the State Bar.

\*(See Business & Professions Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)